PROJECT READ

Project READ's

IRIS Course

Strategy Implementation Checklists

and

Fidelity
of
Instruction
Checklist

Table of Contents

Project READ's IRIS Course

Overview of Project READ's IRIS Course	3
Strategy Implementation Checklists	
Criteria for Choosing a Strategy	4
Guidance for Completing the Strategy Implementation Checklists	5
Collaborative Strategic Reading (CSR) Checklist	6
Frayer Model Graphic Organizer Checklist	8
Self-Generated Questions Checklist	10
Possible Sentences Checklist	12
Anticipation-Reaction Guides Checklist	14
Fidelity of Instruction Checklist	
Using the Fidelity of Instruction Checklist	16
Fidelity of Instruction Checklist (FIC): Teaching Reading in the Middle School Content Areas	17

Project READ is a California Department of Education, Special Education Division project funded through a federal competitively-awarded State Personnel Development Grant to California (#H323A120019) provided from the U.S. Department of Education Part D of the Individuals with Disabilities Education act (IDEA), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP). Opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the position of the U.S. Department of Education.

Please contact projectread@caspdg.org with any questions.

Project READ's IRIS Course

California's Project READ for middle schools is dedicated to increasing student reading and English Language Arts outcomes through teacher and leader professional development, parent and family support, and adaptive technology.

Project READ has partnered with the IRIS Center to develop and deliver a course presenting reading instructional strategies and skills applicable to struggling readers in middle schools. These strategies and skills address academic vocabulary development and multisyllabic decoding. In addition, emphasis is on applying reading comprehension strategies using content-area texts and working together in small groups. Select teachers and administrators from each school site complete this course.

The IRIS course consists of the completion of three online modules, participation in two half-day, on-site follow-up trainings, and on-site coaching. The three modules include:

- CSR: A Reading Comprehension Strategy
 http://iris.peabody.vanderbilt.edu/module/csr/
 In CSR, students work together in small groups to apply comprehension strategies as they read text from a content area, such as social studies or science.
- Secondary Reading Instruction (Part 1): Teaching Vocabulary and Comprehension in the
 Content Areas
 http://iris.peabody.vanderbilt.edu/module/sec-rdng/
 Teachers learn strategies for incorporating vocabulary and reading comprehension skills instruction into content-area lessons—including through the use of Graphic Organizers.
- Secondary Reading Instruction (Part 2): Deepening Middle School Content-Area Learning with Vocabulary and Comprehension Strategies
 http://iris.peabody.vanderbilt.edu/module/sec-rdng2/
 This module focuses on the potential sources of reading difficulty in the middle grades and examples of strategies that can support students' reading comprehension and content-area learning. The strategies presented are also designed to support implementation of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) in English language arts, history/social studies, and science.

Following completion of the online modules, the Site Coach provides two follow-up half-day trainings at the school site on the implementation of the reading instruction strategies and skills. At each training, each teacher selects a strategy to implement in a future lesson in his or her classroom. The Site Coach and/or Lead Administrator then visits during the lesson, using the appropriate strategy checklist to take notes and facilitate a reflective conversation with the teacher following the lesson. The strategy checklists are also excellent tools to support teachers in planning their lessons to ensure all the key elements of the strategy are included.

Once a teacher has completed the IRIS Course, the focus shifts to supporting teachers in incorporating vocabulary and comprehension instruction into their lessons in general. The *Fidelity of Instruction Checklist (FIC)* is used by teachers, Site Coaches, and Lead Administrators as a tool for lesson planning, ongoing classroom visits, reflective conversations, and coaching support in utilizing the new practices.

Strategy Implementation Checklists

As teachers consider which strategies to implement during follow-up training and coaching, the following table can be referred to in deciding which practice(s) will be most appropriate for the lessons they will be delivering. In addition to reviewing that portion of the related module, refer to the appropriate Strategy Checklist to review the key elements of implementing that strategy.

Criteria for Choosing a Strategy	Strategies to Implement
The topic is new to students, so they are not likely to have any background knowledge on which to draw.	Self-generated Questions
Students have some background knowledge about the topic or key ideas that can be activated, but most of the terms and information are new.	Anticipation-Reaction Guide Possible Sentences CSR
Students have been engaged in a unit of study and are learning a new concept within that unit or are pulling together a set of related concepts around a unifying term.	Frayer Model Graphic Organizer
The teacher wants to incorporate experiential/hands-on activities as part of students' learning of the terms.	Frayer Model Graphic Organizer
The teacher wants to include practice writing responses in complete sentences as part of the students' learning.	Anticipation-Reaction Guide Possible Sentences Self-generated Questions
The teacher wants to include prediction or anticipatory thinking about important concepts before students begin reading a text and return to those ideas after reading.	Anticipation-Reaction Guide Possible Sentences
Students need to improve their academic vocabulary and gain deeper understanding of complex subject matter text.	CSR
Eventually, students will be expected to use the practice as a strategy independently to monitor their own learning.	Self-generated Questions
Students need to be able to identify key ideas in the text on their own.	Self-generated Questions CSR
Students need to learn how to distinguish related ideas of a given concept or term.	Frayer Model Graphic Organizer
Students need to learn to evaluate the strength of the ideas given as support for a concept.	Anticipation-Reaction Guide
The teacher wants to engage students in meaningful group discussions of key ideas and vocabulary.	CSR
Students need to practice applying vocabulary within the context of the information they are learning.	Possible Sentences

Guidance for Completing Strategy Implementation Checklists

For each Strategy Checklist item there are two ratings. The first is the degree to which that element of the strategy is being *implemented* during the visit. The second is the *quality* of what's being implemented. The table below provides general examples of how to differentiate levels of quality. In using a particular checklist, you will need to discern the levels for each item.

This will likely be the first use of these strategies in the classroom. A rating of 2 or 3 for implementation on at least half of the items, and the same for the quality rating, indicates good quality implementation. Coaching can then focus on enhancing the lower rated items and building on the higher rated items.

Quality Indicator Rating Guidance*

1 Low	2 Moderate	3 High
Uses language that is indirect and implicit	Inconsistently uses language that is direct and explicit	Uses language that is direct and explicit
Provides no models or examples	Provides some examples	Models many examples
Provides insufficient opportunities for practice with no variation	Provides many opportunities for practice with little variation; practice opportunities do not seem to be based on student need	Provides sufficient and varied opportunities for practice
Provides little feedback which is nonspecific or no feedback	Provides inconsistent feedback	Provides immediate, corrective, and descriptive feedback
Demonstrates poor use of time that is not differentiated and unrelated to student need or task difficulty	Uses time appropriately, but use does not seem based on student need, yet still seems adequate for given activity	Adjusts time to meet students' needs
Demonstrates lack of monitoring or monitoring very few students	Monitors some students or monitors all students for some activities	Constantly monitors student performance
Scaffolds inappropriately or insufficiently	Uses scaffolding inconsistently and does not always tailor it to student needs	Scaffolds tasks and materials to meet student needs
Demonstrates poor pacing either too slow or too fast with no wait time provided	Uses inconsistent pacing that varies between appropriate at times to "too fast" or "too slow" and provides insufficient wait time	Uses appropriate pacing, including wait time

^{*} This form was adapted from Edmonds, M. S., & Briggs, K. L. (2003), and Kamil, M., Borman, G., Dole, J., Kral, C., Salinger, T., Torgesen, J., et al. (2008), and should not be reproduced without specific permission.

Collaborative Strategic Reading (CSR)

Strategy Implementation Checklist

Date:	Coach Name:

Teacher Name: School Name:

Component	Start Time	Stop Time	In	nplementatio	on		Quality	
Collaborative Strategic Reading (CSR)			Not apparent 0	Somewhat apparent 1	Readily apparent 2	Low 0	Moderate 1	High 2
The teacher introduces the topic of the passage.								
Students write what they already know about the topic in their Learning Logs, and share their responses in pairs.								
Students skim the passage, using textual features (headings, pictures, graphs, etc.), write down predictions of what they might learn, and share their best ideas with the class.								
The teacher demonstrates the difference between a click and a clunk, has the class read a short section of text, then identify and write any "clunks" in their Learning Logs.								
Students use fix-up strategies to figure out the meanings of clunks in groups or on their own.								

Component	Start Time	Stop Time	In	nplementatio	on		Quality	
Collaborative Strategic Reading (CSR)			Not apparent 0	Somewhat apparent 1	Readily apparent 2	Low 0	Moderate 1	High 2
The teacher explains how to restate the most important point of a section of text in one's own words, and then assigns a passage for the students to read.								
Students identify the most important idea in a section of text and restate the essence of the text in a brief sentence.								
Students imagine that they are teachers, and then write and answer test questions based on the text's content.								
Students review what was learned by writing down the most important ideas from the day's reading in their Learning Logs, then sharing their best ideas with the class.								
Students work cooperatively in groups using CSR roles (Leader, Clunk Expert, Gist Expert, Announcer, Timekeeper, Encourager).								
The teacher circulates among the groups providing guidance.								

Frayer Model Graphic Organizer

Strategy Implementation Checklist

Date:	Coach Name:

Teacher Name: School Name:

Component	Start Time	Stop Time	In	nplementatio	on	Quality		
Frayer Model Graphic Organizer			Not apparent 0	Somewhat apparent 1	Readily apparent 2	Low 0	Moderate 1	High 2
The teacher introduces the Frayer Model Graphic Organizer by explaining "why" it is useful for building vocabulary and conceptual knowledge.								
The teacher models for students what information should go in each section: Term, Definition, Characteristics, Examples, and Non-Examples.								
The teacher demonstrates how to complete the graphic organizer by "talking through" how he or she is coming up with the information entered into each of the different sections.								
The teacher demonstrates how to use textbooks and other subject matter materials to generate and discuss the information for each section.								

Component	Start Time	Stop Time	Implementation				Quality	
Frayer Model Graphic Organizer			Not apparent 0	Somewhat apparent 1	Readily apparent 2	Low 0	Moderate 1	High 2
The teacher has students complete the Frayer Model Graphic Organizer template for a key vocabulary word or concept from a reading selection.								
Students read the assigned text and define the target concepts.								
Students discuss the definition of the key concept as a group.								
Students complete the characteristics, examples, and non-examples sections in small groups.								
Students review their knowledge of other words and relate the new word or concept to previous lessons.								
The teacher circulates among the groups providing guidance.								
Students share their conclusions, and provide their rationale with evidence from the text.								

Self-Generated Questions

Strategy Implementation Checklist

School Name:

Date:	Coach Name:

Component	Start Time	Stop Time	In	nplementatio	on	Quality		
Self-Generated Questions			Not apparent 0	Somewhat apparent 1	Readily apparent 2	Low 0	Moderate 1	High 2
The teacher provides students with cue cards outlining the 4 Steps to Generate Questions at each of three levels.								
The teacher models for students how to use their textbooks and other materials to generate and answer a particular level of question.								
The teacher reviews and models the steps for generating Level 1 Right There questions, where answers are explicitly stated in the text.								
The teacher reviews and models the steps for generating Level 2 Putting It Together questions, where answers can be found by putting together information from different parts of the text.								

Notes:

Teacher Name:

Component	Start Time	Stop Time	In	nplementatio	on		Quality	
Self-Generated Questions			Not apparent 0	Somewhat apparent 1	Readily apparent 2	Low 0	Moderate 1	High 2
The teacher reviews and models the steps for generating Level 3 Making Connections questions, where answers require students to relate what they have just read with what they already know, and how those ideas are related.								
The teacher provides a subject matter passage as an opportunity for guided practice: encouraging students to explain their thinking, reminding them to use the steps, and having them check their work against the text.								
Students practice generating questions one level at a time until they can generate questions from all three levels.								
Students share questions with each other, discuss concepts, and return to the text to look for the answers to questions written by their peers.								
Students record their self-generated questions, answers, and supporting documentation in their student logs.								

Possible Sentences

Strategy Implementation Checklist

Date:	Coach Name:
Teacher Name:	School Name:

Component	Start Time	Stop Time	In	Implementation			Quality		
Effective Vocabulary Instruction			Not apparent 0	Somewhat apparent 1	Readily apparent 2	Low 0	Moderate 1	High 2	
The teacher has selected a few critically important words to teach directly.									
The teacher introduces words by providing a simplified definition for all vocabulary.									
The teacher and students discuss examples of the words being used in different contexts.									
The teacher and students frequently discuss words, their meanings, and their relationships to known concepts.									
The teacher and students review vocabulary throughout the lesson and discuss the relationship among words.									
The teacher checks students' developing understanding of vocabulary with different question types according to how deeply students need to know the words.									

Component	Start Time	Stop Time	Implementation				Quality		
Possible Sentences			Not apparent 0	Somewhat apparent 1	Readily apparent 2	Low 0	Moderate 1	High 2	
The teacher has selected 6–8 new words and 4–6 related words that students already know.									
The teacher asks students to share what they know about words before providing simplified definitions for unknown words.									
The teacher and students form sentences with 2 or more words that predict how the words will be used in the passage.									
The teacher and students discuss sentences after reading and revise any inaccuracies.									
The teacher and students discuss revised sentences and decide whether to add sentences to include more information.									

Anticipation-Reaction Guides

Strategy Implementation Checklist

Date:	Coach Name:
Teacher Name:	School Name:

Component	Start Time	Stop Time	In	nplementatio	on		Quality	
Effective Comprehension Instruction			Not apparent 0	Somewhat apparent 1	Readily apparent 2	Low 0	Moderate 1	High 2
The teacher has clearly stated what the students should know and be able to do after reading the text.								
The teacher explains how the reading relates to what the students have been studying.								
The teacher has selected a text of appropriate complexity.								
The teacher provides comprehension instruction before, during, and after reading the text.								
The teacher and students frequently discuss their understanding of the text.								

Component	Start Time	Stop Time	In	nplementatio	on		Quality	
Anticipation-Reaction Guides			Not apparent 0	Somewhat apparent 1	Readily apparent 2	Low 0	Moderate 1	High 2
The teacher has identified 3–5 key principles, concepts, or themes in the reading which are written as opinion statements on the guide.								
The teacher asks students to consider each statement and provide a rationale for agreeing or disagreeing.								
The teacher and students discuss relevant background knowledge and experiences that support alternative perspectives on each statement before reading the text.								
The teacher and students read and reread the text to identify and record evidence for each statement.								
The teacher and students evaluate the textual evidence supporting the reader's and author's perspectives on the statements.								
The teacher and students discuss rationale for confirming or changing a perspective on the statements after reading the text.								

Using the Fidelity of Instruction Checklist

The Project READ Fidelity of Instruction Checklist (FIC) is a two-page tool used to monitor implementation of the practices, skills, and behaviors learned in the Project READ IRIS Course. The course includes strategies that support the implementation of the Common Core by focusing on personnel development for content-area teachers to improve students' reading comprehension of complex subject matter texts. The FIC is measuring the integration of those skills and focus on vocabulary and comprehension instruction into ongoing classroom teaching.

FIC ratings and notes are designed to support the identification of areas where teachers have strongly developed and used their new skills, and areas where teachers could benefit from further coaching support. Page 1 records ratings and notes on each of 10 practices, while page 2 includes summative scoring and notes, along with additional instructions, and a rating scale.

Teachers who have completed an IRIS Course are encouraged to use this checklist as a tool for reflecting on their development in the integration of teaching reading vocabulary and comprehension in their content-area classrooms.

The Site Coach or Lead Administrator will complete the FIC with each of the selected teachers who completed the IRIS Course. Using the FIC involves the Site Coach or Lead Administrator making a visit to the classroom for 20-30 minutes during instruction followed by 20-30 minutes of reflective conversation with the teacher.

This conversation is a key part of completing the checklist, including addressing checklist items that are not in evidence during classroom instruction. This is a reflective process with the teacher which is an opportunity for the Site Coach or Lead Administrator to both provide support and to deepen their understanding of the needs of the school.

This is a process that takes 40-60 minutes. Note: It will be important that the instruction that occurs during the classroom visit is text-based rather than a lab, for example.

Once completed, the Site Coach and Lead Administrator will look across all the FICs for their teachers and summarize what was learned about the teachers' skills and needs to inform future coaching and support for individual teachers and the school as a whole.

The Site Coach or Lead Administrator should complete FICs once per semester with teachers who have completed an IRIS Course, at least until the checklist elements are implemented at a level of "good" or better on at least 70 percent of items.

Project READ Fidelity of Instruction Checklist (FIC): Teaching Reading in the Middle School Content Areas

Teacher Name:		
School Name:		
Classroom Visit By (Name and R	ole):	
Date:	Time:	to

Focus Areas	Fidelity of Instruction Levels					Notes
Effective Vessbulers Instruction	O Not Seen / Not Used	Low / Beginning	2 Moderate / Developing	3 Good / Applying	4 High / Exemplary	
Effective Vocabulary Instruction						
The teacher uses multisyllabic word reading strategies.						
The teacher introduces words by providing a simplified definition for all content-area academic vocabulary.						
The teacher and students discuss words, their meanings, and their relationships to known words or concepts.						
The teacher checks students' understanding of vocabulary with different question types.						
Effective Comprehension Instruction						
The teacher explains how the reading relates to what the students have been studying.						
The teacher teaches or models the use of graphic organizers, summarizing, and/or question generating or asking.						
The teacher and students discuss their understanding of the text.						
The teacher engages students in meaningful interactions with the content through: taking positions, citing textual support, summarizing, making connections, and/or raising questions.						
Effective Student Engagement						
The teacher provides opportunities for student collaboration in discussion and/or assignments.						
The teacher promptly provides specific feedback.						

Focus Areas	Fidelity of Instruction Levels				Notes	
	O Not Seen / Not Used	1 Low / Beginning	2 Moderate / Developing	3 Good / Applying	4 High / Exemplary	
Total # of Items Rated at Each Level						Fidelity = level 3 or 4 on 7 of 10 items

Description of What the Teacher and Students are Doing and Saying and Reflective Conversation Notes:

Classroom Visits and Reflective Conversations

Site Coaches and/or Lead Administrators use this FIC during **20-30 minute classroom visits** with teachers who have completed a Project READ IRIS Course. Ratings and notes are designed to support the identification of areas where teachers have strongly developed and used their new skills, and areas where teachers could benefit from further coaching support. Following the classroom visit is a **20-30 minute reflective conversation** with the teacher to discuss the visit and further coaching in the needed areas.

Fidelity of Instruction Levels Rating Scale

Rating Levels	Rating Levels and Note Taking Guidance
0 - Not Seen / Not Used	Note when they could have implemented the practice during the lesson.
1 - Low / Beginning	Minimal and/or incorrect use of the practice. What needs to be addressed?
2 - Moderate / Developing	Correct but incomplete use of the practice. What needs to be enhanced?
3 - Good / Applying	Appropriate and correct use of the practice. What could still be refined?
4 - High / Exemplary	Demonstrated mastery of the practice. How could they support others in its use?

The main distinction in determining fidelity is between levels 2 and 3 for each item. A rating of 2 or less involves incomplete or incorrect use of the practice, or no use of the practice. A rating of 3 or more involves appropriate and correct use of the practice. The distinctions between other levels suggest coaching focus or direction.

Fidelity is achieved when at least 7 of 10 items are at level 3 or 4.

Items rated below 3 are priority areas for further coaching support.